This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



**To cite this Article** Pandiyan, T., Barreiro, C.S. Salgado and Jayanthi, N.(2002) 'Facial Coordination Chemistry in Nickel(II) Compounds with Linear Tridentate Ligands: Synthesis, Spectra and Redox Behavior', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 55: 12, 1373 – 1383

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/0095897021000058628 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0095897021000058628

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



## FACIAL COORDINATION CHEMISTRY IN NICKEL(II) COMPOUNDS WITH LINEAR TRIDENTATE LIGANDS: SYNTHESIS, SPECTRA AND REDOX BEHAVIOR

## T. PANDIYAN\*, C.S. SALGADO BARREIRO and N. JAYANTHI

Facultad de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán, 04510, México D.F., México

(Received 3 August 2001; Revised 24 October 2001; In final form 6 March 2002)

1:1 and 1:2 nickel(II) complexes of bis(benzimidazole-2-ylmethyl)amine (bbma) bis(benzimidazole-2-ylmethyl)sulfide (bbms), bis(benzimidazole-2-ylethyl)sulfide (bbes) and diethylenetriamine (dien) were prepared and their spectroscopic and redox behavior studied. The stereochemistry of nickel(II) complexes with bbma, bbes, bbms and dien have been analyzed, confirming *facial* configuration for Ni(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> and *Cu*(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> and *meridional* geometry for Ni(dien)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> and Cu(dien)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>. The factors favoring *facial* or meridional coordination of these ligands have been studied. For example, the  $\pi$ -bonding ability of benzimidazole at the termini of the tridentate ligand facilitate the *facial* geometry for the complexes, and the strong  $\sigma$ -donor ability of amine at the termini of the dien ligand favors meridionally coordinated complexes. The electronic spectral results indicate that the ligand field strength of the complexes decreases in the following order: Ni(bbms)<sup>2+</sup> > Ni(bbms)<sup>2+</sup> > Ni(bbma)<sup>2+</sup> > Ni(dien)<sup>2+</sup>, this decreasing order being consistent with the redox potential obtained for these complexes.

Keywords: Nickel complexes; Benzimidazole; Facial; Meridional

## **INTRODUCTION**

The stereochemistry of coordination compounds is of growing interest because of its implication in models for bioinorganic and catalytic systems for enantioselective reactions. The bis-complex of a linear tridentate ligand generally forms two potential geometric isomers *viz.*, *meridional* (a) and *facial* (b,c) (Fig. 1). For example, three edge configurations (*u-fac*, *s-fac and mer*) were found for the octahedral [M(dien)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> complexes [1–10] [M=Cr(II), Co(III), Rh(III), Ir(III), Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II)]; however, the complexes [Cu(dien)<sub>2</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [11] and [Cu(dien)<sub>2</sub>]Br · H<sub>2</sub>O [12] (dien=diethylenetriamine) exhibit only the *mer* isomer, where nitrogen atoms occupy three positions in such a way that two terminal nitrogens are *trans* to each other; thus, half of the meridian of the octahedron is occupied by ligand atoms. In contrast, only an *s-fac* octahedral geometry was obtained for the nickel complex with methyl

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: 00 525 622 3712. E-mail: pandiyan@servidor.unam.mx



X=N or S

FIGURE 1 Scheme of three geometric isomers (fac a, b and mer c).



FIGURE 2 Scheme of ligand systems.

substituted dien,  $[Ni(medien)_2](NO_3)_2$  [13], where the nitrogen atoms occupied the corners of the triangular face of the octahedron structure. Bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (bpma) formed both *fac* isomers but not the *mer* isomer for the complexes  $[M(bpma)_2]X_2$  (M=Mn(II), Zn(II), or Cd(II), X=ClO\_4, or Cl); similarly, *fac*- $[Fe(bpma)_2](ClO_4)_2$  was isolated [14,15]; however, the structures of the bis-medien (methyl substituted dien) complexes of cadmium are different from those of the dien complexes, showing that the *s-fac* geometry is more common in the former, whereas the latter preferred *mer* geometry. Further, the Cu(IDA) complex (IDA=iminodiacetate) reveals that its *fac* configuration was inverted to *mer* [16] when benzimidazole was added to the Cu(IDA). Although the geometrical isomerism of tridentate ligands with different metal ions has already been studied, the geometrical behaviors of the nickel(II) complexes with different tridentate linear ligands (Fig. 2) is still a topic for research; factors favoring the geometric preference need to be studied. This observation prompted our interest in the coordination chemistry of different

tridentate ligands, bis(benzimidazole-2-ylmethyl)amine, bis(benzimidazole-2-ylmethyl)sulfide, bis(benzimidazole-2-ylethyl)sulfide and diethylentriamine with nickel(II) and their geometrical and spectral behaviors are discussed in this paper.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

## Chemicals

The following reagents were used as received:  $Ni(II)Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ ,  $Ni(II)(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ , 1,2-diaminobenzene, 3,3'-thiodipropionic acid, thiodiglycolic acid, diethylenetriamine, iminodiacitic acid (Aldrich). Tetra-*n*-hexylammonium perchlorate (G.F. Smith) was recrystallized twice from aqueous ethanol.

#### **Physical Measurements**

Elemental analyses were carried out in the Faculty of Chemistry, UNAM, México. The estimation of nickel was determined by voltammetric techniques [17]. The diffuse reflectance and methanolic absorption spectra were measured on a Hitachi U-3400 double beam UV/VIS/NIR spectrophotometer. All voltammetric experiments were performed in a single-compartment cell with a three-electrode configuration on a EG and G PAR 263 A potentiostat/galvanostat interfaced with a computer along with EG and G M270 software which has employed to carry out the experiments. The reference electrode was  $Ag(s)/(AgNO_3)$  in methanol. The solutions were deoxygenated by bubbling them with research-grade nitrogen. Methanol for electrochemistry was distilled over magnesium turnings.

## Synthesis of Ligands

Bis(benzimidazole-2-ylmethyl)sulfide (bbms) [18], bis(benzimidazole-2-ylethyl)sulfide (bbes) [19] and bis(benzimidazole-2-ylmethyl)amine (bbma) [20,22] were synthesized, as reported elsewhere. Diethylenetriamine (dien) was purchased from Aldrich.

## **Preparation of Complexes**

The following complexes were prepared according to the procedure reported elsewhere [15,22].

 $[Ni(bbes)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  A solution of Ni(ClO\_4)\_2 \cdot 6H\_2O (0.36 g, 1.0 mmol) in methanol (5.0 mL) was added under stirring (10 min) to bbes (0.322 g, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in methanol (10.0 mL). The resulting solution was kept at room temperature. The bluish-green crystals obtained were collected, washed with small amounts of methanol and dried over P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub> in vacuum (yield, 85%).

 $[Ni(bbes)]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O$  To ligand 'bbes' (0.322 g, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in methanol (10.0 mL) was slowly added under stirring (10 min) to a methanolic solution of NiCl\_2 \cdot 6H\_2O (0.237 g, 1.0 mmol). The resulting blue solution was kept at room temperature. The light blue crystals formed were collected, washed with small amounts methanol and dried over P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub> in vacuum (yield, 80%).

	Compounds	%C	%H	%N	%Ni
1	[Ni(bbes)](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> · 2H <sub>2</sub> O	34.96	3.84	9.50	9.90
		(35.14)	(3.60)	(9.11)	(9.54)
2	$[Ni(bbes)]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O$	46.58	4.00	12.13	12.88
		(46.07)	(4.29)	(11.94)	(12.51)
3	$[Ni(bbes)_2](ClO_4)_2$	47.96	3.97	12.25	6.55
		(47.95)	(4.02)	(12.43)	(6.50)
4	[Ni(bbes) <sub>2</sub> ]Cl <sub>2</sub>	55.51	4.35	14.02	7.28
		(55.88)	(4.69)	(14.48)	(7.59)
5	$[Ni(bbms)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	32.55	2.18	10.00	10.42
		(32.73)	(3.09)	(9.54)	(9.99)
6	[Ni(bbms)]Cl <sub>2</sub> · H <sub>2</sub> O · MeOH	44.32	4.05	12.01	12.48
		(44.66)	(4.41)	(12.24)	(12.84)
7	$[Ni(bbms)_2](ClO_4)_2$	45.39	3.35	12.97	6.81
		(45.45)	(3.34)	(13.25)	(6.94)
8	[Ni(bbma)](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> · MeOH · H <sub>2</sub> O	34.67	3.40	12.00	9.90
		(34.95)	(3.62)	(11.99)	(10.05)
9	$[Ni(bbma)]Cl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	44.97	4.35	16.23	13.50
		(45.10)	(4.49)	(16.43)	(13.77)
10	[Ni(bbma) <sub>2</sub> ](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> · MeOH	46.50	4.00	16.22	6.50
		(46.99)	(4.06)	(16.61)	(6.96)
11	$[Ni(dien)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	12.30	4.84	10.87	15.35
		(12.57)	(5.01)	(11.00)	(15.36)
12	$[Ni(dien)]Cl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	22.13	7.06	15.44	22.00
		(22.57)	(7.20)	(15.80)	(22.06)
13	$[Ni(dien)_2](ClO_4)_2$	20.68	5.70	18.18	12.58
		(20.74)	(5.66)	(18.14)	(12.67)
14	$[Ni(dien)_2]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O$	26.96	8.00	23.68	16.33
		(27.21)	(7.99)	(23.80)	(16.62)

TABLE I Elemental analysis of the Ni(II) complexes with calculated values in parenthesis

 $[Ni(bbes)_2](ClO_4)_2$  A methanolic solution of Ni(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.36 g, 1.0 mmol) was added to the 'bbes' (0.644 g, 2.0 mmol) dissolved in methanol (20 mL) under stirring for 10 min. The resulting blue solution was kept at room temperature. The bluish-green crystals obtained were collected, washed with small amounts of methanol and dried over P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub> in vacuum (yield, 80%).

The above procedures were used to prepare the following nickel(II) complexes using bbms, bbma, and dien ligands:  $[Ni(bbms)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ ,  $[Ni(bbms)]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O \cdot MeOH$ ,  $[Ni(bbms)_2](ClO_4)_2$ ,  $[Ni(bbma)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot MeOH \cdot H_2O$ ,  $[Ni(bbma)]Cl_2 \cdot MeOH$ ,  $[Ni(bbma)_2](ClO_4)_2 \cdot MeOH$ ,  $[Ni(dien)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ ,  $[Ni(dien)]Cl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ ,  $[Ni(dien)_2](ClO_4)_2$  and  $[Ni(dien)_2]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O$ . Table I contains microanalytical data for these complexes.

*Caution*: Although no accident occurred with nickel perchlorate complexes during the experimental work, it should be remembered that perchlorates are potentially explosive.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The stereochemistry of nickel complexes with the tridentate ligands bbma, bbes, and dien were analyzed. In our previous papers [15,22], the crystal structure for Ni(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> in *sym-facial* [22] and for Cu(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> [15] in *unsym-facial* were established, indicating that the complex formed by using bbma with nickel(II) or copper(II)

facilitates the *facial* geometry, differing only in the symmetry unit. The octahedral structure of Ni(bbma) $_{2}^{2+}$ , where the equatorial plane was formed by a amine and three benzimidazole nitrogens and the axial positions were occupied by the remaining amine and benzimidazole nitrogens, possessed *unsym-facial* configuration; however,  $Cu(bbma)_{2}^{2+}$  exhibits the sym-facial geometry, where four benzimidazol nitrogens lie on the equatorial plane and one benzimidazole and secondary amine nitrogen are located at the axial positions. Also, in the case of the triclinic octahedral structure Ni(bbes) $_{2}^{2+}$  [23], bbes coordinates with nickel ion *trans*-facially, consequently, the equatorial plane of the complex was formed by four nitrogens from the benzimidazole moiety and two thioether sulfurs occupy the axial positions; thus, *facial* geometry occurs in the complex. Further, it is interesting to note that only *facial* coordination is favored by the metal complexes (M=Cu and Ni) using bbes, bbma and bpma [24] (bpma=bispyridylmethylamine). These observations suggest that steric hindrance caused by benzimidazole or the pyridyl group at the terminus of the tridentate linear ligands (bbes, bbma and bpma) favors a *facial* coordination with the nickel ion. This implies that the *facial* coordination appears to be facilitated when the terminal-donor sites in the tridentate ligand (bbes or bbma) possess partial rigidity, thus reducing the  $\sigma$ -donor as well as enhancing the  $\pi$ -bonding ability of benzimidazole. However, this is contrary to the metal complexes with dien, which exhibit mostly mer geometry [25,26]; indicating that the formation of *mer* configuration is certainly due to less steric crowding from dien; consequently, it allowed the formation of the mer configuration. For example, the  $[Ni(dien)_2]^{2+}$  unit in  $[Ni(dien)_2][Ni(CN)_4] \cdot 2H_2O$  is a distorted octahedral environment with the two tridentate dien molecules coordinated meridionally [25]. The complexes  $[Cu(dien)_2](NO_3)_2$  and  $[Cu(dien)_2]Br \cdot H_2O$ (dien=diethylenetriamine) exhibit only the *mer* isomer [11,12]. On the other hand, the crystal structure for  $[Ni(medien)_2](NO_3)_2$  [13] reveals that it possesses an *s*-fac octahedral geometry, meaning that the methyl substitution in the secondary nitrogen of dien causes steric hindrance around the nickel. Thus, the *meridional* cooridination is generally facilitated when primary amine nitrogens with strong  $\sigma$ -donor ability form the termini of the ligand. If the central nitrogen in the 'dien' ligand carried a methyl substituent, as in the case of medien, it seems to favor the fac isomer. The M-N bond lengths are plotted (Fig. 3) for the following different metal complexes containing the benzimidazole or amine as the termini of tridentate ligands, showing that the bond distances, M–N<sub>bzim</sub> for the metal complexes [Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II)] steadily decrease; this is contrary to the bond lengths M-N<sub>amine</sub>, observed for the complexes, i.e., the bond distance increases. On the other hand, the Cu-Namine distance is higher than the Zn-N<sub>amine</sub> which is obviously due to Jahn-Teller effect.

	$Co(bbes)_2^{2+}$ [27]/ $Co(dien)_2^{2+}$ [6]	$Ni(bbma)_2^{2+}$ [22]/ $Ni(dien)_2^{2+}$ [25]	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cu(bbma)}_2^{2+} \ [15]/\\ \text{Cu(dien)}_2^{2+} \ [12] \end{array}$	$Zn(bbma)_2^{2+}$ [28]/ $Zn(dien)_2^{2+}$ [12]
M–N <sub>bzim</sub>	2.132	2.061	2.018	2.005
M–N <sub>amine</sub>	1.970	2.132	2.265	2.220

## **Electronic Spectra**

The assignments of the electronic spectral bands of all three spin-allowed transitions (Table II) typical of  $d^8$  high-spin complexes of nickel(II) in methanol, are  ${}^{3}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2g}$ 



FIGURE 3 Plot of M-N bond length vs. divalent metals.

(1030–847 nm),  ${}^{3}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1g}(F)$  (782–550 nm). The higher energy band observed around 400 nm [ ${}^{3}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1g}(P)$ ] is obscured by a charge-transfer band [29–32]. For all 1:2 complexes the three main bands are obtained. However, an additional shoulder (apart from the main bands), was detected for 1:1 complexes, meaning that  ${}^{3}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1g}(F)$  transition is split into two components as a consequence of the spin-orbit coupling of  ${}^{1}E$  (D) and  $T_{1g}$ . This indicates that 1:1 complexes possess tetragonal geometry, which is consistent with the ligand field parameter Dq for 1:1 complexes where six coordinating atoms in an octahedron, show higher  $\varepsilon$  values than 1:1 compounds because of the less distorted geometries of the former as found for x-ray structure of Ni(bbes)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> [23] and Ni(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> [22].

The spectral parameter of the 1:2 complexes reveal their ligand field strength in increasing order:  $Ni(bbms)_2^{2+}$  (1019 cm<sup>-1</sup>) <  $Ni(bbes)_2^{2+}$  (1029 cm<sup>-1</sup>) <  $Ni(bbma)_2^{2+}$  (1067 cm<sup>-1</sup>) <  $Ni(dien)_2^{2+}$  (1154 cm<sup>-1</sup>). For the  $Ni(bbms)_2^{2+}$  complex, adjacent fivemembered chelating ring (555 and 555 for each ligand) around the nickel ion cause more strain towards the metal ion, leading to comparatively lower ligand field energy  $(B = 1019 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  than in the case of the remaining 1:2 nickel(II) complexes. On the contrary, a more effective in-plan  $\sigma$ -interaction with nickel is expected for Ni(dien)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>, thereby raising the ligand field energy (Fig. 4). The degree of distortion in the geometry depends upon the strain caused by the number of five-membered chelate rings and as well as the number of sterically hindered bulky groups around the metal ion. For bbes complexes, six-membered (666 and 666 each ligand) chelating rings were formed, causing less strain than bbms but more strain than dien towards the metal ion. Although a similar trend in ligand field strength has also been observed for 1:1 complexes:  $Ni(bbms)^{2+}$  (941 cm<sup>-1</sup>) <  $Ni(bbes)^{2+}$  (988 cm<sup>-1</sup>) <  $Ni(bbma)^{2+}$  (1054 cm<sup>-1</sup>) <  $Ni(dien)^{2+}$  (1154 cm<sup>-1</sup>), the ligand field energy obtained for 1:2 complexes was much higher than the 1:1 compounds, which is expected since higher ligand field strength is produced by  $N_6$  or  $N_4S_2$  chromophores. This is consistent with  $\varepsilon$ 

Compounds	Medium	Ligand field <sup>a</sup>	Dq- values cm <sup>-1</sup>	β <sup>b</sup> values	Charge- transfer <sup>a</sup>
[Ni(bbes)](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> · 2H <sub>2</sub> O	Methanol	964 (12) 718 (10)	1037	0.32 0.58	391 (23)
$[Ni(bbes)]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O$	Methanol	1012 (14) 730 (13)	988	0.36 0.58	380 (27)
[Ni(bbes) <sub>2</sub> ](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	Methanol	640 (15) 972 (24) 632 (18)	1029	0.63	382 (28)
[Ni(bbes) <sub>2</sub> ]Cl <sub>2</sub>	Methanol	996 (27) 640 (20)	1004	0.65	380 (31)
$[Ni(bbms)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	Methanol	1063 (9) 918 (4) 718 (7) 654 (8)	941	0.47 0.82	400 (15)
$[Ni(bbms)]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O \cdot MeOH$	Methanol	1064 (10) 918 (5) 718 (7) 654 (8)	940	0.27 0.42	400 (17)
[Ni(bbms) <sub>2</sub> ](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	Methanol	964 (18) 645 (15)	1019	0.54	390 (28)
$[Ni(bbma)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot MeOH \cdot H_2O$	Methanol	948 (22) 715 (14) 656 (13)	1054	0.31 0.47	393 (30)
$[Ni(bbma)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot H_2O$	Methanol	955 (26) 718 (15) 659 (15)	1047	0.32 0.47	399 (36)
[Ni(bbma) <sub>2</sub> ](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> · MeOH	Methanol	937 (32) 609 (18)	1067	0.65	396 (25)
$[Ni(dien)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	Methanol/DMSO	882 (33) 550 (24) 400 (20)	1134	0.89	355 (35)
$[Ni(dien)]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O \cdot MeOH$	Methanol/DMSO	890 (39) 558 (30)	1124	0.85	363 (28)
[Ni(dien) <sub>2</sub> ](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	Methanol/DMSO	866 (42) 536 (30)	1154	0.95	350 (52)
$[Ni(dien)_2]Cl_2 \cdot H_2O$	Methanol/DMSO	869 (35) 534 (30)	1151	1.00	367 (63)

TABLE II Electronic absorption spectral data in nm with  $\varepsilon(m^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  in parentheses

<sup>a</sup>Concentration  $5 \times 10^{-2}$ ; <sup>b</sup>Calculated from  $B = 2\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2 - 3\nu_1\nu_2/(15\nu_2 - 27\nu_1)$ ; in the free ion B = 1038,  $\beta = B_{\text{complex}}/B_{\text{free ion}}$  and  ${}^3\text{A}_{2g} - {}^3\text{T}_{2g}(\nu_1)$  and  ${}^3\text{A}_{2g} - {}^3\text{T}_{1g}(\nu_2)$ .

values observed for 1:1 compounds which are comparatively lower than the 1:2 complexes.

The nephelauxetic ratio calculation ( $\beta$ ) [32,33] obtained for 1:1 nickel complexes is lower than 1:2 compounds, indicating that delocalization of the nickel  $t_{2g}$  electron cloud toward coordinated ligand atoms is greater for the former complexes than for the latter. The significantly lower value of  $\beta$  (covalency parameter) for the nickel complex with sulfur containing ligands (bbms and bbes), where the metal electron clouds expand towards the thioether sulfur is much higher than with the nitrogen atom; hence the thioethers manifest a much greater nephelauxetic effect [34,35]. Further, the Dq is slightly lower for Ni(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> with respect to Ni(bpma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> [24]; this is undoubtedly due to the importance of the strongly  $\pi$ -bonding pyridine donors in pyridyl containing complexes over the strongly  $\sigma$ -donating but sterically demanding nitrogen from benzimidazole in bezimidazole containing ligands.



FIGURE 4 Electronic absorption spectra of Ni(bbes) $_{2}^{2+}(-\cdot-\cdot)$  and Ni(dien) $_{2}^{2+}(-)$ .

## NMR Studies

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy was performed in order to show that the solid state structure of Ni(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>, Ni(bbes)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> and Ni(dien)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> is preserved in solution. The chemical shifts (in ppm) for the complexes are collected (below) along with our proposed assignments. The dipolar broadened, isotropical contact-shifted resonance was observed for the complexes in the range 6.5-28.2 ppm, which is typical of high spin nickel(II) [36,37]. The contact-shifted broaden signal (74.1 ppm for bbma and 66.8 ppm for bbes) has been assigned to C(4)-H (the proton from bzim ring) which lies closest to Ni(II). The sharp peaks in the range 6.5–8.1 ppm for Ni(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> and 7.7–7.5 for Ni(bbes) $_{2}^{2+}$ , correspond to other bzim-ring protons which lie farther from the Ni(II). The broad peaks at 16.3 and 29.7 ppm are assigned to the N-H protons from the benzimidazole and the secondary amino groups, respectively. It is interesting to observe that the broad signal at 16.3 (N-H of Bzim) for Ni(bbma)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> is moved down to 14.1 ppm for Ni(bbes) $_2^{2+}$ , suggesting that the benzimidazole coordination is more effective towards the nickel ion, *i.e.* the Ni<sup>2+</sup> is closer to bbma than bbes; this is consistent with the observed bond length, Ni-N<sub>bzim</sub> for bbma, which is shorter than that of bbes (Fig. 3). For Ni(dien) $_{2}^{2+}$ , which is insoluble in methanol, the solvent mixture (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> and CD<sub>3</sub>OD) was used to record the NMR spectra, in which only two signals were obtained. The first is at 4.04 ppm, corresponding to  $-CH_2$  and the other is around 24.3 ppm (broad), which corresponds to the amino group of the dien;

$Ni(bbma)_2^{2+}$	Assignments	$Ni(bbes)_2^{2+}$	Assignments	$Ni(dien)_2^{2+}$	Assignments
6.5-8.1	C-H (bzim)	6.7–7.5	C–H (bzim)	4.40	-CH <sub>2</sub> -
16.3	N–H (bzim)	14.1	N–H (bzim)	-	-
28.4	$-CH_2$	28.2	$-CH_2$	-	-
29.7	N-H	-	-	24.3	N–H
74.1	C(4)–H (bzim)	66.8	C(4)–H (bzim)	-	-

Bzim=benzimidazole.

the unexpected contact shift value observed for the amino group is certainly due to the solvent effect from the mixture of DMSO- $d_6$  + CD<sub>3</sub>OD. The value was expected to appear less than the value of N–H of bzim (14.1 ppm), because the Ni–N<sub>amine</sub> bond length [25] reported in earlier crystal structural data, is much less than that of Ni–N<sub>bzim</sub> [22]; thus the non-preservability of solid state structure of Ni(dien)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> in solution is established.

## **Electrochemical Properties**

The redox behavior of the Ni(II) complexes was studied by using cyclic voltammetry (CV) on a stationary platinum electrode. Electrochemical experiments were carried out in methanol, and tetra-*n*-hexylammonium perchorate was used as a supporting electrolyte. Since all the nickel complexes exhibit an irreversible Ni(I)/Ni(II) redox process, except Ni(bbma) $_{2}^{2+}$ , which reveals a quasi-reversible redox behavior, the Differential Pulse Voltammetry (DPV) technique was employed to measure  $E_{1/2}$  for the complexes. Electrochemical data collected for the complexes are presented in Table III. The non-Nernstian behavior may be ascribed to heterogeneous electrontransfer kinetics. This is evident from the cathodic shift of the reduction peak and the anodic shift of the oxidation wave that increases with the scan rate [38]. As expected, the nickel complexes with thioether ligands (bbes or bbms) exhibit a more positive potential than complexes of bbma and dien (Fig. 5), because of the thioether sulfur, which is both a weak  $\sigma$ -donor and a weak  $\pi$ -acceptor in contrast to the purely  $\sigma$ -donor amine nitrogens; this is consistent with the ligand field values obtained in the electronic spectral studies for the complexes. The order of the redox potentials [Ni(II)/Ni(I)] of perchlorates (Table III) is  $[Ni((dien)_2)^{2+} < [Ni(bbma)_2)^{2+} < Ni(bbma)_2)^{2+}$  $[Ni(bbes)_2]^{2+} \approx [Ni(bbes)_2]^{2+}$ ; this order demonstrates that stabilization of Ni(II) is greater with the  $\sigma$ -donor nitrogen than the both weak  $\sigma$ -donor and weak  $\pi$ -acceptor.

#### CONCLUSION

The stereochemistry of nickel complexes with the tridentate ligands shows that *facial* coordination is facilitated when the terminal donor sites of the tridentate ligand are

Compounds	$E_{1/2}^{b}(V)$	$E_{pc}^{c}(V)$
$[Ni(bbes)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	-0.708	- 0.810
		0.120
$[Ni(bbes)_2](ClO_4)_2$	-0.715	-0.783
$[Ni(bbms)_2](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	-0.705	-0.812
$[Ni(dien)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	-1.182	0.162
		-1.056
$[Ni(dien)_2](ClO_4)_2$	-1.212	- 1.154
$[Ni(bbma)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot MeOH \cdot H_2O$	-0.982	-0.799
[Ni(bbma) <sub>2</sub> ](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> · MeOH	-1.106	-0.806

TABLE III Electrochemical data<sup>a</sup> of Ni(II) complexes at 25°C

<sup>a</sup>Measured *vs.* non-aqueous silver reference electrode; add 544 mV to convert into normal hydrogen electrode (NHE); scan rate  $50 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ , supporting electrolyte tetran-hexylammonium perchlorate (0.1 M); complex concentration 1.0 mM.

<sup>b</sup>Difference Pulse Voltammetry, scan rate 1.0 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, pulse height 50 mV.

<sup>c</sup>Cathodic potential.



FIGURE 5 Differential pulse voltammograms of 1 mM Ni(bbes) $_{2}^{2+}$  and Ni(dien) $_{2}^{2+}$  in methanol at 25°C. Supporting electrolyte: 0.1 M (NC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>)<sub>4</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>. Scan rate 1.0 m V s<sup>-1</sup>.

occupied by the benzimidazole, which implies that the termini possess partially rigid donors that reduce the  $\sigma$ -bonding as well as enhance the  $\pi$ -bonding ability, thus, favoring the *facial* structure. On the other hand, when primary amine nitrogens with strong  $\sigma$ -donor ability are at the termini of the ligand, only *meridional* coordination is facilitated. Although, it is very difficult to predict *facial* or *meridional* geometry by electronic spectral results, the strong  $\sigma$ -donor termini tridentate ligand bis-diethylenetriamine considerably shifts the ligand field band to higher energy than the pyridine (strong  $\pi$ -bond donors) or strong  $\sigma$ -donating with sterically demanding benzimidazole ligands. This observation is supported by the lower redox potential obtained for Ni(dien)<sup>2+</sup><sub>2</sub> than Ni(bbma)<sup>2+</sup><sub>2</sub> and Ni(bbes)<sup>2+</sup><sub>2</sub>.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Direcíon General de Asuntos del personal Académico (Project No. IN205398) and the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Technología (CONACyT, Project No. 32759-N) for their economic support.

#### References

- [1] G.H. Searle and D.A. House (1987). Aust. J. Chem., 40, 361.
- [2] D.A. House, V. McKee and W.T. Robinson (1989). Inorg. Chem. Acta, 157, 15.
- [3] M. Kobayashi, F. Marumo and Y. Saito (1972). Acta Crystallogr., Sect., 28, 470.
- [4] K. Okiyama, S. Sato and Y. Saito (1979). Acta Crystallogr., Sect., 35, 2389.
- [5] M. Konno, F. Marumo and Y. Saito (1973). Acta Crystallogr., Sect., 29, 739.
- [6] F.D. Sancilio, L.F. Druding and D.M. Lukaszewski (1976). Inorg. Chem., 15, 1626.
- [7] K. Hrada (1993). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 66, 2889.
- [8] S. Biagini and M. Cannas (1970). J. Chem. Soc. A., 2398.
- [9] R.C. Hynes, C.J. Willis and J.J. Vittal (1996). Acta Crystallogr., C52, 1879.

- [10] M. Socchi, A. Albinati and G. Tieghi (1972). Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1, 135.
- [11] F.S. Stephens (1969). J. Chem. Soc. (A), 883.
- [12] P.G. Hodgson and B.R. Penfold (1974). J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1870.
- [13] A. Modanl, S. Chaudhuri, A. Ghosh, I.R. Laskar and N.R. Chaudhuri (1998). Acta Chem. Scandi., 52, 1202.
- [14] J. Glerup, P.A. Goodson, D.J. Hodgson, K. Michelson, K.M. Neilson and H. Weihe (1992). Inorg. Chem., 31, 4611.
- [15] M. Palaniandavar, T. Pandiyan, M. Lakshminarayanan and H. Manohar (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 445.
- [16] M.J. Román-Alpiste, J.D. Martín-Ramos, A. Castińeiras-Campos, E. Bugella-Altamirano, A.G. Sicilia-Zafra, J.M. González-Pérez and J. Niclós-Gutierrez (1999). *Polyhedron*, 18, 3351.
- [17] C.N. Reilley, R.W. Schmid and F.A. Sadek (1959). J. Chem. Educ., 36, 555.
- [18] A.W. Addison and P.J. Bruke (1981). J. Heterocyclic. Chem., 18, 803.
- [19] J.V. Dagdigian and C.V. Reed (1982). Inorg. Chem., 21, 1332.
- [20] S. Usha, T. Pandiyan and M. Palanianndavar (1993). Indian. J. Chem., 32B, 572.
- [21] Y. Nishida and K. Takahashi (1998). J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 691.
- [22] T. Pandiyan, S. Bernes and C. Durán de Bazúa (1997). Acta Crystallogr., C53, 1607.
- [23] T. Pandiyan, K. Pannerselvam, M. Soriano-García, C. Dúran de Bazúa and E.M. Holat (1996). Acta Crystallogr., C52, 1137.
- [24] M. Valusamy, M. Palaniandavar and K.R.J. Thomas (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 2179.
- [25] V. Rodíguez, J.M. Gutiérrez-Zorrilla, P. Vitoria, Antonio Luque, P. Román and M. Martínez-Ripoll (1999). Inorg. Chem. Acta, 290, 57.
- [26] A.K. Mukherjee, S. Koner, A. Ghosh, N.R. Chaudhuri, M. Mukherjee and A.J. Welch (1994). J. Chem. Soc., 2368.
- [27] T. Pandiyan and S. Bérnes (Unpublished work).
- [28] R.W. Hay, T. Clifford and P. Lightfoot (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 3575.
- [29] R. Stranger, S.C. Wallis, L.R. Gahan, C.H.L. Kennard and K.A. Byriel (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 2971.
- [30] T. Pandiyan, C. Durán de Bazúa and M. Soriano-García (1996). Fresenius J. Anal. Chem., 354, 636.
- [31] T. Pandiyan, S. Bernés and C. Durán de Bazúa (1997). Polyhedron, 16, 2819.
- [32] T. Pandiyan, M. A. Rios Enriquez, S. Bernés and C. Durán de Bazúa (1999). Polyhedron, 18, 3383.
- [33] S.R. Cooper, S.C. Rawle, J.A. Hartman, E.J. Hintsa and G.A. Admans (1988). Inorg. Chem., 27, 1209.
- [34] R.L. Carlin and E. Weissberger (1964). Inorg. Chem., 3, 611.
- [35] S.G. Murray and F.R. Hartley (1981). Chem. Rev., 81, 365.
- [36] J.E. Huheey (1990). In: J.E. Huheey, E.A. Keiter and R.L. Keiter. *Inorganic Chemistry, Principles of Structure and Reactivity*, 3rd Edn. p. 388. Harber International SI Edition.
- [37] B.J. Hathaway (1987). In: G. Wilkinson, R.G. Gillard and J.A. McCleverty (Eds.), Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, Vol. 2, p. 413. Pergamon Oxford.
- [38] A.J. Bard and L.R. Faulkner (1990). Enlectrochemical Methods: Fundamental Applications, p. 218. Wiley, New York.